	Case 1:21-cv-01086-NONE-BAM Document 18 Filed 11/30/21 Page 1 of 5							
1	PATRICK D. MORIARTY, State Bar No. 213185							
2	pmoriarty@aghwlaw.com JOHN B. ROBINSON, State Bar No. 297065							
3	jrobinson@aghwlaw.com ALLEN, GLAESSNER, HAZELWOOD & WERTH, LLP							
4	180 Montgomery Street, Suite 1200							
5	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 697-2000							
6	Facsimile: (415) 813-2045							
7	Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF MODESTO							
8								
9	Sanjay S. Schmidt (SBN 247475) LAW OFFICE OF SANJAY S. SCHMIDT							
10	1338 Sutter Street, Suite 810 San Francisco, CA 94109							
11	T: (415) 563-8583							
12	F: (415) 223-9717 ss@sanjayschmidtlaw.com							
13	Tai C. Bogan (SBN 241784)							
14	The Bogan Law Firm, APC 615 13th Street, Suite A							
15	Modesto, CA 95354							
16	Phone: (209) 566-9591 x 101 Fax: (209) 566-9668							
17	tai.bogan@theboganlawfirm.com							
18	Attorneys for Plaintiff, BRANDON GRAY							
19								
20	Bruce D. Praet SBN 119430 FERGUSON, PRAET & SHERMAN							
21	A Professional Corporation 1631 East 18th Street							
22	Santa Ana, California 92705							
23	(714) 953-5300 telephone (714) 953-1143 facsimile							
24	Bpraet@aol.com							
25	Attorneys for City of Oakdale Defendants							
26								
27								
28								

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 FRESNO COURTHOUSE 4 BRANDON GRAY, Case No. 1:21-cv-01086-NONE-BAM 5 Plaintiff, STIPULATED REQUEST AND ORDER TO 6 CONTINUE SCHEDULING CONFERENCE, v. EXTEND DUE DATE FOR JOINT 7 CITY OF OAKDALE, a municipal SCHEDULING REPORT, AND EXTEND corporation; City of Oakdale Police TIME FOR COUNTY OF STANISLAUS TO 8 Department Officers DOES 1-10, RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; ORDER 9 individually; CITY OF MODESTO, a municipal corporation; City of Modesto Police Department Officers DOES 11-20, 10 individually; COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, a public entity; Stanislaus 11 County Sheriff's Department Deputies DOE 21-30, individually; California 12 Highway Patrol Officers DOES 31-40, individually; and DOES 41-100, Jointly 13 and Severally, 14 Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff, BRANDON GRAY ("Plaintiff"), by and through his counsel of record, 17 Defendant CITY OF MODESTO ("CITY"), by and through its counsel of record, and Defendant 18 CITY OF OAKDALE, by and through its counsel of record, hereby respectfully stipulate and 19 request as follows: 20 1. On or about July 12, 2021, Plaintiff filed his operative complaint in this matter. 21 2. The CITY was then served with Plaintiff's Complaint, on or about September 13, 2021. 22 The CITY's responsive pleading deadline was October 4, 2021. 23 3. Pursuant to the continued meet and confer discussions of the parties, the parties, 24 through counsel, stipulated that the deadline for the CITY to respond to the complaint be 25 extended from October 4, 2021 to October 25, 2021, and filed a stipulation to that effect. See ECF 26 No. 11. 27 4. A stipulation has also been submitted, and signed by the Court, extending the due date 28

Case 1:21-cv-01086-NONE-BAM Document 18 Filed 11/30/21 Page 2 of 5

Case 1:21-cv-01086-NONE-BAM Document 18 Filed 11/30/21 Page 3 of 5

for a responsive pleading to be filed by the County of Stanislaus. ECF No. 10. That deadline is
December 6, 2021. Id. The parties hereby request that this deadline be extended one last time, for
an additional month, to January 6, 2022.

- 5. The City of Oakdale has filed an Answer, ECF No. 8, as has the City of Modesto. ECF No. 15.
- 6. The parties are in the process of circulating a Stipulated Protective Order, and will be submitting it to the Court shortly. This will facilitate the exchange of documents and materials.
- 7. Once the Stipulated Protective Order is filed, certain key documents and body worn camera videos will be exchanged, thereby allowing an assessment by Plaintiff of whether the County of Stanislaus and its to-be-identified DOE employees will remain in the case, as explained in the stipulation filed between Plaintiff and the County of Stanislaus. ECF No. 9.
- 8. Additionally, the undersigned Plaintiff's counsel is unavailable for the upcoming Scheduling Conference, which is set for December 16, 2021, at 9:30 AM, in Courtroom 8. ECF No. 4 at 4:22-25. Mr. Schmidt will be out of town for a wedding.
- 9. In view of the foregoing, the undersigned counsel hereby respectfully request that the upcoming Initial Scheduling Conference be continued to a date selected by the Court, based on the Court's availability and calendar.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

//			
//			
//			
//			
//			
//			
//			
//			

Case 1:21-cv-01086-NONE-BAM Document 18 Filed 11/30/21 Page 4 of 5 1 Respectfully submitted, Dated: November 29, 2021 2 ALLEN, GLAESSNER, HAZELWOOD & WERTH, LLP 3 4 By: /s/Patrick D. Moriarty* PATRICK D. MORIARTY 5 JOHN B. ROBINSON Attorneys for Defendant 6 CITY OF MODESTO 7 Dated: November 29, 2021 THE LAW OFFICE OF SANJAY S. SCHMIDT 8 9 By: <u>/s/ Sanjay S. Schm</u>idt SANJAY S. SCHMIDT 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff **BRANDON GRAY** 11 12 Dated: November 29, 2021 FERGUSON, PRAET & SHERMAN 13 14 By: /s/Bruce D. Praet* BRUCE D. PRAET 15 Attorneys for CITY OF OAKDALE **Defendants** 16 17 Dated: November 30, 2021 PORTER SCOTT A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 18 19 20 By: <u>/s/ John R. Whitefleet*</u> JOHN R. WHITEFLEET 21 Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF **STANIŠLAUS** 22 23 *Mr. Moriarty, Mr. Praet, and Mr. Whitefleet gave their consent to file this document via 24 CM-ECF. 25 // 26 // 27 // 28

ORDER

The Court, having reviewed the parties' stipulation and request, and good cause appearing, finds and orders as follows:

- 1. The Scheduling Conference currently set for 12/16/2021 is HEREBY CONTINUED to January 27, 2022 at 9:00 AM in Courtroom 8 (BAM) before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe. The parties shall file a Joint Scheduling Report one week prior to the conference. The parties shall appear at the conference remotely with each party connecting either via Zoom video conference or Zoom telephone number. The parties will be provided with the Zoom ID and password by the Courtroom Deputy prior to the conference. The Zoom ID and password are confidential and are not to be shared. Appropriate court attire required.
- 2. The COUNTY's deadline to respond to the Complaint is extended to January 6, 2022.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 30, 2021 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
LINITED STATES MAGISTRATE HIDGE